**[OFFICER IN CHARGE]**

**[RCMP DETACHMENT NAME]**

**Re: COVID-19 Response**

We write with urgency regarding the **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** response to COVID-19 as it concerns the local community.

Since the onset of the COVID-19 Public Health Crisis, **[ORGANIZATION NAME]** has received an influx of complaints from residents that the **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** is over-policing the community and their actions directly jeopardizing residents’ health, livelihood, and security. In addition, we share the concerns about police violence and its impact on Black and Indigenous people in our community.

As there are now two simultaneous public health emergencies in B.C. and a broader movement to defund the police and redirect funds into social and healthcare services, **we are asking the [RCMP DETACHMENT] to cease all practices that undermine public health and safety in all low-income communities in [CITY].** **We further implore the City and Province to take concrete steps towards defunding the police and diverting funds to peer- and community-led safety initiatives that reflect the needs of this city, specifically highly criminalized people who are increasingly fearful of law enforcement.**

It is well-established that policing incites fear (of arrest & detention) and thusly drives certain activities dangerously underground.[[1]](#footnote-1) This is common in neighbourhoods with long-standing histories of police (mis)conduct and in which many residents live in public space, work in informal street-based economies, and rely on the illicit drug market. Even before COVID-19, local policing practices were widely criticized for undermining public health and infringing rights guaranteed by the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* and the B.C. *Human Rights Code*. For example, a 2019 study conducted in and around overdose prevention sites in Vancouver's Downtown Eastside neighborhood determined that policing during the opioid crisis has been shown to jeopardize access to vital emergency health, and harm reduction services.[[2]](#footnote-2) Police enforcement of simple possession has, for decades, pushed drug users into isolation and compromised their harm reduction efforts.[[3]](#footnote-3)

In addition, policing practices have been found to undermine access to lifesaving overdose prevention services and exacerbate overdose risks for marginalized women. A 2020 study found an urgent need to scale-up access and remove barriers to progressive harm reduction strategies for women sex workers.[[4]](#footnote-4) Policing of sex workers, specifically the long-standing practice of surveilling sex workers and harassing their clients continues to displace sex workers and interfere with their ability to earn an income and take safety precautions.[[5]](#footnote-5)  All of these actions are inconsistent with s. 7 of the *Charter*, which guarantees the rights to life, liberty, and security of the person, and in turn protects against actions that interfere with healthcare access,[[6]](#footnote-6) increase the risk of death and disease,[[7]](#footnote-7) and prevent people from safeguarding their own health and safety.[[8]](#footnote-8) Insofar as police practices (including street stops, “wellness checks,” and detentions) tend to be disproportionately used against poor, Indigenous, and racialized communities,[[9]](#footnote-9) they are also inconsistent with the right to equality guaranteed by s. 15 of the *Charter* and B.C. *Human Rights Code* protections against discrimination.

Policing that exacerbates the public health harms of COVID-19 is inexcusable. We call on the **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** to undertake the following:

1. **Cease Over-Policing of People Who Use Drugs (PWUD)**
* Create a formal ‘de facto’ decriminalization policy[[10]](#footnote-10) in the **[CITY]** with respect to the offences of simple possession and possession for the purposes of trafficking;
* Create a formal “bubble zone” policy preventing police interference around all harm reduction services including overdose prevention sites (OPS) and supervised consumption sites;
* Create a formal policy that prevents officers from interfering with harm reduction, including a directive to cease the practice of confiscating new or used harm reduction equipment.
* Create a formal policy to restrict officer attendance at overdose calls

The B.C. Centre for Disease Control has stressed the importance of harm reduction during COVID-19, advising PWUD to continue using OPS and SCS and to “buddy up” when using drugs.[[11]](#footnote-11) As before, PWUD are relying on a largely unregulated supply of drugs, but amid the pandemic, they are also seeing a rapid withdrawal of harm reduction resources; a diminishing drug supply; and the looming risk of withdrawal due to supply chain interruption.

PWUD are adapting to a new landscape of gaps in health- and harm reduction services. They may also be purchasing larger quantities of substances while they have the means to do so, based on concerns about an interrupted drug supply and obligations to perform social distancing.

Current policing practices have been proven to drive drug use underground, imperilling access to harm reduction and undermining the efficacy of those services. As threats to the health and safety of PWUD reaches an all-time high, fear of police interference cannot be the reason why people are prevented from engaging in critical harm reduction measures.

1. **Minimize the Policing of Informal Economies**
* Cease criminalization and police disruption of informal economies, including adult consensual sex work; street-based drug sales; and street vending and panhandling;
* Commit to the City of Vancouver’s Sex Work Response Guidelines[[12]](#footnote-12) and ensure all VPD officers respond to sex workers in a manner that prioritizes their safety, health, and right to work in adult consensual sex work.

The informal economy includes sex work, drug dealing, panhandling, and street vending. It is a crucial source of income generation for people experiencing homelessness or using substances or otherwise excluded from the formal workforce.[[13]](#footnote-13) Since the onset of COVID-19, this economy has been devastated. Sex workers have reported a decline in business and may face a spike in violence from clientele taking advantage of demand scarcity.[[14]](#footnote-14) Street-level dealers have reported a rapidly evaporating and increasingly toxic drug supply.[[15]](#footnote-15)

As illicit markets are ‘drying up,’ B.C.’s income assistance program is simultaneously being overwhelmed with requests, and numerous local services have shuttered.[[16]](#footnote-16) While policing informal economies has always had the effect of driving them underground and exposing workers to harm, this is especially true in today’s climate of desperation. It is critical that police limit interference with the survival economy to avoid driving people into further physical and economic precarity.

1. **Cease Over-Policing of Unsheltered People**

* Cease disruptions to the daily activities of people who shelter in public space (i.e. sidewalks, storefronts, city parks), including the seizure and disposal of personal belongings, and any other activities that could result in the displacement of people who are sheltering in public space;
* Cease disruptions to the daily activities of people who live in their vehicles, including cars, vans, motorhomes, and RVs;
* Cease stigmatizing approaches to public health, including loudspeaker-style announcements about social distancing amplified from police cars
* Provide clear, trauma-informed protocol on how the **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** is attending any emergency calls related to family violence, including intimate partner violence and child welfare calls, which could lead to the removal of an individual from their home.

An Interim Guidance policy issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention advises cities to not clear homeless encampments during community spread of COVID-19 unless individual housing units are available, as “clearing encampments can cause people to disperse throughout the community and break connections with services providers. This increases the potential for infectious disease spread.”[[17]](#footnote-17)

It is virtually impossible for unsheltered communities to abide by government directions to self-isolate. Frequent handwashing, sanitizing, and cleaning is similarly untenable absent the provision of supplies with which to do so. This is a time of great risk for low-income communities – whether they are living in tent cities, cars, shelters, or couch surfing. Even people who are technically housed may feel unsafe in their homes, for example, if they must co-quarantine with an abuser, and they are making difficult choices about survival.

Given the significant risk of community spread among communities who cannot self-isolate, the **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** must avoid partaking in displacement efforts that could further transmission. That numerous Courts have found routine displacement to invoke psychological distress contrary to s. 7 of the *Charter*[[18]](#footnote-18) is all the more reason to cease the practice, as people are now navigating multiple crises under tremendous stress.

1. **Minimize Contact with the Criminal Justice System**

* Work with the Ministry of Public Safety & Solicitor General and community-based organizations to reduce the number of people awaiting trial in remand or preventative detention in pretrial and provincial correctional institutions;
* Align **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** policy with Sanctuary City Principles,[[19]](#footnote-19) by identifying CBSA-free zones and ensuring no municipal resources are utilized to support CBSA investigations or activities;
* Undertake all possible measures to prevent any time spent in custody, including the following:
	+ Cease enforcement of all administrative offences (i.e. breaches of bail conditions);
	+ Announce a moratorium on street stops and police-imposed area restrictions (or ‘red zones’);
	+ Release individuals under the most minimally restrictive conditions available;
	+ Work with child welfare agencies to prevent youth from being taken into custody.
* Provide COVID-19 Protocols for local jails
* Provide COVID-19 Protocols for detention and transport of individuals to police and non-police facilities (ex. Detox & Sobering Units, emergency mental health units)

Since the onset of COVID-19, Courts have identified that incarceration, which amounts to effective mass congregation, is inconsistent with the social distancing and isolation practices being advised under the current public health emergency. Courts have favoured release over incarceration in recognition that the pandemic “is bound to increase day to day hardship in prison and the general risk to the welfare of prison inmates.”[[20]](#footnote-20)

As the World Health Organization has already noted: “Prisons are not healthy places. Communicable diseases are frequently transmitted among prisoners…[and] unhealthy conditions such as overcrowding and poor hygiene are common in many prisons.”[[21]](#footnote-21)

Correctional facilities and immigration detention centres are high-risk sites of contagion that cannot respond to a pandemic. Wherever possible, it is vitally important to ease over-reliance on incarceration to protect the welfare of incarcerated individuals, prison staff, and the broader community.

**Conclusion**

We implore the **[RCMP DETACHMENT]** to undertake evidence-based responses to public health crises and cease enforcement activities that jeopardize access to health, safety, and dignity. We thank you for your consideration and will follow up shortly.

Sincerely,

**CC: [LOCAL MAYOR]**

Mayor

Deputy Commissioner Jennifer Strachan (email: jennifer.strachan@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

Commanding Officer

RCMP E Division

Brenda Butterworth-Carr (email: brenda.butterworthcarr@gov.bc.ca)

Assistant Deputy Minister and Director of Police Services

Ministry of Public Safety & Solicitor General

Kasari Govender (email: commissioner@bchumanrights.ca)

B.C. Human Rights Commissioner
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