



## **HIV and HCV Primary Prevention Indicators**

Indicator 1: Number of people reached through HIV and HCV education sessions focused on risk behaviour reduction	
Description	Total number of people reached through HIV/HCV education sessions/ workshops focused on risk-behaviour reduction.
Significance	HIV education programs have demonstrated to be effective in decreasing risk behaviour and increasing contraceptive use (Kirby et al., 2006).
Data source	CBO administrative data
Possible disaggregation	<ul> <li>Target populations</li> <li>Sessions focused on HIV vs. HCV vs. both</li> <li>Peer education vs. not</li> </ul>
Limitations	Participant tracking challenges

Click <u>here</u> to download a comprehensive list of indicators in the Indicators Technical Report.















Indicator 2: Sexual Health Capacity Scale scores before and after participation in education session	
Description	The Sexual Health Capacity Scale measures knowledge and confidence in preventive sexual practices for HIV and STIs (Bavinton, et al., 2013). Higher scores would indicate greater perceived knowledge and confidence in maintaining sexual health.
Significance	HIV education programs have demonstrated to be effective in decreasing risk behaviour and increasing contraceptive use (Kirby et al., 2006).
Scale	<ul> <li>Scale: 1 (strongly disagree), 2, 3, 4l 5 (strongly agree)</li> <li>Items: <ul> <li>I have a good understanding of how HIV is transmitted.</li> <li>I have a good understanding of how HCV is transmitted (ADDED).</li> <li>I would be able to recognize the symptoms of a sexually transmissible infection (STI).</li> <li>I know where to get to get a full sexual health check-up.</li> <li>I know how to put a condom on properly.</li> <li>I know that I am at increased risk for HIV if I have multiple sexual partners (ADDED).</li> <li>I know that I am at increase risk for HIV if I have, or have recently had, a sexually transmitted infection (ADDED).</li> <li>I know that I am at increase risk for hepatitis C by sharing needles and other equipment used to inject drugs (ADDED).</li> <li>I feel confident that I can negotiate the use of condoms with sexual partners.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Measurement	<ul> <li>Participants should complete the scale both before and after participation in education session.</li> <li>Participation should be voluntary.</li> <li>Compare participants' mean scores on the items before and after participation.</li> </ul>
Data source	Education session participants
Possible disaggregation	Target population
Limitations	Survey questions focused on sexual health.















Indicator 3: Knowledge of new HIV and HCV prevention technologies before and after participation in CBO education session	
Description	Knowledge of new HIV and HCV prevention technologies before and after participation in CBO education workshops.
Significance	HIV education programs have demonstrated to be effective in decreasing risk behaviour and increasing contraceptive use (Kirby et al., 2006).
Measurement	The Canadian Public Health Association (CPHA) (n.d.) developed a training module to enhance frontline providers' knowledge of New HIV Prevention Technologies. This module also includes a <a href="mailto:brief">brief evaluation survey</a> that participants fill out before and after participation in the module.
Data source	Survey with workshop participants
Possible disaggregation	<ul><li>Type of new prevention technology discussed</li><li>Target group of education session</li></ul>
Limitations	Need to survey workshop participants

Indicator 4: Number of condoms distributed by CBOs	
Description	Total number of condoms (male or female) distributed by CBOs. Does not include other safer sex materials, such as dental dams and lubricant.
Significance	<ul> <li>Interventions that increase the availability or accessibility of condoms are efficacious in increasing condom use behaviours (Charania, et al., 2011).</li> <li>Condoms reduce risk of HIV and HCV transmission through sexual intercourse.</li> </ul>
Data source	CBO administrative data (e.g. condom distribution tracking sheet)
Possible disaggregation	<ul> <li>Male vs. female condoms</li> <li>Distribution to HIV-positive vs. HIV-negative individuals</li> <li>Population served (e.g. sex workers, men who have sex with men, etc.)</li> </ul>
Limitations	<ul> <li>At the individual level, distribution of condoms does not always translate to increased condom use.</li> <li>May be difficult for CBOs to track.</li> </ul>















Indicator 5: Percent change in health and social service providers' perceived ability to respond to HIV and HCV issues before and after participation in education session	
Description	<ul> <li>Percent change in health and social service providers' perceived ability to respond to clients' HIV and HCV issues before and after their participation in CBO-led education sessions.</li> </ul>
Significance	Ongoing training for health and social service providers improves understanding and practice of evidence-based HIV prevention services (CDC, 2016).
Scale	<ul> <li>Scale: 1 (low ability), 2, 3, 4, 5 (high ability)</li> <li>Items: (i) Ability to respond to clients' issues related to HIV/AIDS; (ii) Ability to respond to clients' issues related to Hepatitis C.</li> </ul>
Measurement	<ul> <li>Participants should complete the scale both before and after participation in education session. Compare participants' scores before and after participation and calculate percent change.</li> </ul>
Data source	Education session participants
Possible disaggregation	Target population for education session
Limitations	Survey participation dropout following education session

Indicator 6: Percent of respondents who report using a condom the last time they had sex	
Description	• The percent of respondents who say they used a condom the last time they had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner, of those who have had sex with such a partner in the last 12 months. Reported condom use at last sex is a valid proxy for condom use behaviours over longer time periods (Younge et al., 2008).
Significance	Condom use is a key prevention strategy for HIV and HCV transmission.
Data source	Self-report client survey
Possible disaggregation	Target group
Limitations	Social desirability bias















Indicator 7: Number of clean needles and syringes distributed	
Description	Total number of clean needles and syringes distributed.
Significance	Exposure to needle and syringe programs is associated with reduction of HCV transmission (Turner, et al., 2011).
Data source	CBO administrative data (e.g. harm reduction material distribution tracking sheet)
Possible disaggregation	Distribution by target groups
Limitations	<ul> <li>At the individual level, distribution of clean needles and syringes does not always translate to use of this equipment.</li> <li>Can be difficult for CBOs to track.</li> </ul>

Indicator 8: Number of clean pipes/glass tubes distributed	
Description	Total number of clean needles and syringes distributed.
Significance	Exposure to needle and syringe programs is associated with reduction of HCV transmission (Turner, et al., 2011).
Data source	CBO administrative data (e.g. harm reduction material distribution tracking sheet)
Possible disaggregation	Distribution by target groups
Limitations	<ul> <li>At the individual level, distribution of clean needles and syringes does not always translate to use of this equipment.</li> <li>Can be difficult for CBOs to track.</li> </ul>















Indicator 9: Number of referrals to HIV/HCV prevention and support services for those at-risk	
Description	Total number of referrals made to HIV andHCV prevention services.
Significance	<ul> <li>Engagement of vulnerable and marginalized populations in the health and social service system aims to reduce transmission of communicable diseases (HRSS, 2013).</li> </ul>
Data source	CBO administrative data
Possible disaggregation	Type of service referrals are made for (e.g. screening/testing for HIV/HCV, syringe services program, post-exposure prophylaxis, mental health and substance use services, etc.)
Limitations	<ul> <li>CBO tracking issues</li> <li>Lack of standardized manner to track referrals</li> <li>Difficulty for CBOs to determine whether client followed through with referral</li> </ul>

Indicator 10: Number of at-risk clients provided with HIV/HCV prevention and support services	
Description	Total number of clients provided with HIV/HCV prevention and support services
Significance	<ul> <li>Engagement of vulnerable and marginalized populations in the health and social service system aims to reduce transmission of communicable diseases (HRSS, 2013).</li> </ul>
Data source	CBO administrative data
Possible disaggregation	Type of prevention/support service provided (e.g. screening/testing for HIV/HCV, syringe services program, post-exposure prophylaxis, mental health and substance use services, etc.)
Limitations	CBO tracking issues















Indicator 11: Percent change in resiliency and self-efficacy following receipt of support from CBO health promotion case managers	
Description	Total number of clients provided with HIV/HCV prevention and support services
Significance	Engagement of vulnerable and marginalized populations in the health and social service system aims to reduce transmission of communicable diseases (HRSS, 2013).
Measurement	Outcomes to be measured upon initial consultation with case manager and at follow-up. Percent change in outcomes to be calculated.
Data source	Survey with clients receiving support from health promotion case managers
Possible disaggregation	<ul><li>Client target group</li><li>Peer vs. non-peer case managers</li></ul>
Limitations	Need to conduct client survey















- 1. Bavinton, B.R., Gray, J., & Prestage, G. (2013). Assessing the effectiveness of HIV prevention peer education workshops for gay men in community settings. *ANZJPH*, 4: 305-310.
- 2. BC Harm Reduction Strategies and Services (HRSS) (2013). BC Harm Reduction Strategies and Services Committee Policy Indicators Report. Retrieved from: <a href="http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/B39C410C-F5D1-467B-A92F-B46715583404/0/BCHRSSPolicyIndicatorsReportMarch162012.pdf">http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/B39C410C-F5D1-467B-A92F-B46715583404/0/BCHRSSPolicyIndicatorsReportMarch162012.pdf</a>
- 3. Canadian Public Health Association. (n.d.). Evaluation: New HIV Prevention Technologies Training Module. Retrieved from: <a href="http://www.cpha.ca/en/programs/training/8.aspx">http://www.cpha.ca/en/programs/training/8.aspx</a>
- 4. Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2016). Effective interventions: HIV prevention that works. Retrieved from: https://effectiveinterventions.cdc.gov
- 5. Charania, M.R., Crepaz, N., Guenther-Gray, C., Henry, K., Liau, A., Willis, L.A., & Lyles, C.M. (2011). Efficacy of structural-level condom distribution interventions: A meta-analysis of U.S. and international studies, 1998-2007. AIDS and Behaviour, 15(7), 1283-1297.
- 6. Kirby, D.B., Laris, B.A., & Rolleri, L.A. (2006). Sex and HIV education programs: Their impact on sexual behaviours of young people throughout the world. Journal of Adolescent Health, 40, 206 217.
- 7. Turner, S., Merchant, K., Kania, J., & Martin, E. (2012). Understanding the value of backbone organizations in collective impact. Retrieved from: <a href="http://www.fsg.org/publications/understanding-value-backbone-organizations-collective-impact#download-area">http://www.fsg.org/publications/understanding-value-backbone-organizations-collective-impact#download-area</a>
- 8. Yonge, S.N., Salazar, L.F., Crosby, R.F., DiClemente, R.J., Wingood, G.M., & Rose, E. (2008). Condom use at last sex as a proxy for other measures of condom use: Is it good enough? Adolescence, 43(172): 927-931.